G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

Police 2-1886.
" 9-1889
" 5-1890
" 46-1893
" 46-1896.

Later references.

G.F. 112-C

1899-1900
~~191~~.
(Police)

File No. 2

Serial Nos. 1—3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—3

Part B for May 1900.

SUBJECT.

Grant of Maximum Pay to Mr. S. Srinivasa Iyengar, 1st clerk, Office of the Inspector General of Police.

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Note

(32)

The appointment of the Cal Clerk in the I. Cyl's office is a pragmatic one and the pay of it is 60 rising to Rs 80 by annual increments of Rs 5.

Please see serial no 20 of the file herewith submitted. The I. Cyl recommends that the maximum pay should be given, but section 102 of the M. S. Regulations, does not permit this. The permanent pay of the Secretariat clerks in

Rs 70, accordingly the
rule quoted above
he should get only the next
increment of the pro-
gressive appointment,
viz. Rs 5. The same
thing is now pointed
out by the Comptroller,
who however allowed
the Offg
to draw the maxi-
mum pay of the pro-
gressive appointment
viz Rs 80 for January
& February. The Dist Pte Genl
strongly recommends the Maximum pay being sanctioned

Submitted to Hr.

16/4/1900

16/4.

13427

The Govt observe that the
order of the Inspector appointing Sreeram
as Clerk of this Office on the maximum
pay, Rs 80, was contrary to the
principle of the Rules relating to
Progressive Pay and could not be confirmed
with the express provision of Article 107
Progressive Pay as defined in Article 35
is pay which, subject to good behaviour
& an officer, rises by periodical increments
from a minimum to a maximum. This
principle on which such pay is framed
and regulated should be adhered to. If there
was any circumstance which justified
the grant of the maximum pay at once
the case ought to have been reported
to Govt and the special orders
obtained under Article 95.
The Offg ought not to have appointed
Sreeram up for on the maximum pay
in contravention of the Rules.
Taking however into consideration the fact,
that, since this appointment, Sreeram
was drawing as Clerk Rs 90 only & also
and that he was held to expect he would
get the maximum pay Rs 80 of the present
appointment, Govt are pleased to sanction
as a special case his drawing the maximum
pay Rs 80 with effect from 8 Jan 1900.

16/4/1900

Section 102 (a).

An officer transferred substantively to a progressive appointment from an appointment in which he is drawing pay in excess of the minimum pay of the new appointment will, if the transfer is made by an authority to which both appointments are subordinate, or if the transfer is declared to have been made for special reasons and in the interests of the public Service, draw the nearest stage or if two stages are equally near, then the next higher stage of pay in the new appointment, but will count time for increments as if he had commenced in the

975-3
10-4-00.
MYSORE
1899 1900

31

From

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

Police
2-1899
No 1

Dated

Despatched 9 April 1900

Received

General No. 3589
No. 311

Enclosure No.

Requesting that Government may be pleased to sanction the grant of Rs. 00/ maximum pay as a special case to S. Srinivasa Iyengar 1st clerk of the Inspector General of Police with retrospective effect from 8th Jan 1900.

Inwd 10.4.00
R. to J. 7 No. 8 of 1893 pasted
11/4.
16/4/00.

2

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 3588/311 Dated Bangalore 9 April 1900.

Encl.

From

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

I have the honor to report that in January last the post of first clerk in my office fell vacant and that it was given to S. Sreenivasa Iyengar who was then special Reserve Inspector Myporeon Rs 70+20 and attached to my office. The pay of the first clerk is Rs 60/- rising to Rs 80/-. As it would be no promotion to S. Sreenivasa Iyengar unless the maximum pay was given it was thought desirable to

give

give him the maximum pay of Rs 80/- at once. The Comptroller passed his pay at Rs 80/- for January and February but cut it down in the bill from March to Rs 75- per mensem or Rs 5- in excess of his substantive pay of Rs 70 as per article 102 of the Mysore Service Regulations.

2. First clerk S. Sreenivasa Iyengar joined this office in 1889 and has put in a service of nearly 11 years. He has all the time been serving in the office in the various branches and has been one of the few most useful hands in the office. He was given the post of special Reserve Inspector Mysore on Rs 70+20 simply because there was no promotion available for him in the office. He has passed the ~~~ criminal

criminal, Revenue and Civil Part I of the Mysore Local Service examinations in the first class and is an under graduate. His name was submitted to Government for an Amildari. Under these circumstances and as he loses his horse allowance of Rs 20 per mensem, I request that the Government will be pleased to sanction the grant of Rs 80/- Maximum pay as a Special Case with retrospective effect from 8th January 1900.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant,

Inspector General of Police.

E.E

Draft submitted for
approval

Read

33

Police
2
1899
2

Letter no 3589/311 dated the
9th April 1900, from the
I. General of Police in
Mysore, reporting that
S. Sreenivasiengar
Special Reserve as
Inspector, Mysore, ~~X~~
attached to the I. Gl's
office was ~~promoted~~ appointed as
First Clerk of the said Office
~~to the 1st Clerk's post~~
~~thereby on the maxi-~~
~~mum pay~~ on the 8th
January 1900, when
~~the post in question~~
that post
became vacant, and
requesting sanction
to him
to the grant of the
of the appointment, viz
maximum pay Rs 80/-
Maximum
as a special case.

~~with retrospective~~
effect from the
aforesaid date as the
Government objects to pass
the bill of the ~~said~~
~~incumbent reported to.~~

order thereon. No. 11534-35 & 1172 25-4-1900.

~~The~~ Govt. observe
that the order of the
I. General appoint-
ing Sreeninasiengar
as 1st Clerk of his
office on the maximum
pay, Rs 80, was contra-
ry to the principle of
the rules relating to
progressive pay and
quite in conflict
with the express pro
visions of article
102 of the Mysore Service Regulations.
~~102.~~ Progressive

[1st Commissioner's order

pay, as defined in
article 35, is pay, which
subject to the good
behaviour of an
officer, rises by
periodical incre-
ments from a mini
mum to a maximum
The principle on whi
such pay is fixed
and regulated
should be strictly
adhered to. If there
were circumstances
which justified the
grant of the maximum
pay at once, the case
ought to have been
reported to Govt. an
their special orders
obtained under
article 95. The D. Gl
ought

ought not to have appointed Sreeninasingam on the maximum pay in contravention of the rules. Taking however into consideration the fact that prior to his appointment Sreeninasingam was drawing as Reserve Inspector Rs 90 including allowance, and that he was led to expect he would get the maximum pay Rs 80 of the present appointment, I am pleased to sanction as a special case, his drawing the maximum pay Rs 80 with effect from 8th Janr. 1900.

(Intd. [illegible])

21/4/1900
for Secy:

To,
The A. G. of Police in Mysore.
" The Comptroller to the Govt. of Mysore.

249

J. 1185

11226
21-5-00
1900.

From

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

File C
23/6/00

Police
2-1899
3

Dated

Despatched 18 May 1900

Received

General No. 4024
No. 349

Enclosure No.

Forwarding herewith
Abcie note regarding
the maximum pay of Rs 80
given to Brunen on Rs 40
without the previous
sanction of Government

21/5
Refer to put up.
MM
20/5

Submitted.

MM
21/5

Ord - & Subd. & Secy
MM 21/5.

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. 4024/349

Enc. one

Dated Bangalore, 18 May 1900.

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

With reference to Government

Proceedings No: 11834 — 35 (X) / J. 1172 dated 25th April,

observing that I ought not to have

given the maximum pay of Rs 80/- to

S. Sreenivasa Iyengar without the

previous Sanction of Government and

that it was contrary to the provisions

of the Mysore Service Regulations &c,

I have the honor to forward herewith

an

Pl No 33 in 7 & 8 : 3

an office note from which it will be seen that previous Sanction of Government was not sought because on enquiries made in the Comptroller's office, this office were led to believe that a reference to Government was unnecessary. The fact that the Comptroller's office passed the pay of S. Sreenivasa Iyengar without any objection for 2 months, shews that this mistaken opinion was not held by this office alone. These circumstances will, I hope, shew to the Government that the omission was due to a bonafide mistake and not to any wilful disregard of rules.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servt
K. Vaudy
for Inspector General of Police

E (Ts) No 4024 & 18 May 1900

34 Office note.

Ex tol. 3

When the post of 1st clerk was giv
to S. Srinivasiengar, we thought
that a reference to Government was nec
as the post carried a progressive pa
We made enquiries in the Comptrolle
office and were informed that no ref
to Government was necessary as the
was less than Rs 100/- and as s
in the gift of the Inspector Gene
Police. The Comptroller's office pa
the pay bills without any objectio
for the months of January and Fe
and then objected.

Submitted.

14-5-00

P.K. Kalyana Sun